

From: TODD DONOVAN [donovan66@msn.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 18, 2015 10:51 AM
To: Jill Nixon
Subject: FOR PDR REQUEST 2015-107

> Subject: Re: Donovan proposal
> From: donovan66@msn.com
> Date: Sun, 26 Apr 2015 17:36:02 -0700
> CC: bryanbham@icloud.com; richardmay42@aol.com; aliemwalker@gmail.com; eli4crc@gmail.com
> To: tomstuen@comcast.net

>
> The political scientist was out at the island. As for the English / Canadian
> System that is how we elect our US Congress. Single Member District by simple plurality.

>
> Aside from my thinking a 5-2 system is a decent compromise I also think it will really complicate the
> Republicas efforts to sell this and increase the odds of people voting no on the lot

>
> From an unlocked, no contract iPhone.

>
> On Apr 26, 2015, at 3:35 PM, "Tom Stuen" <tomstuen@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>> Hey, where is our political scientist? Communities of interest v. control. I think Joe Ellenbaas had it right at
our first meeting: "a minority should not control the majority". So district-wide voting is appropriate (and is
the system in 34 counties). Of course we do not have state-wide voting for the state legislature. And district
only voting has given us Republican control of the federal house.

>> However, the voters of 4 other counties think that district representation is important, as our voters
thought in 2005.

>> In King County each district has a larger population than Whatcom County. Pierce is maybe 120,000 and
Snohomish about 150,000 per district. San Juan is 2500 per district.

>>

>> An English/Canadian system of electing parties allows more effective minority representation than any
districting system which has geographic constraints.

>>

>> I think that a 5-2 proposal which fails shows that there was an attempt to address the representation and
cost issues, if those are important to the voters. If it is on the ballot, it would give conservatives some things to
think about. Either way the Democratic party will say we are too small to subdivide, which may be correct.

>> My conclusion is that we should have 7 districts and district-wide voting. But this is not math.

>> Tom

>> -----Original Message-----

>> From: Barbara Ryan [mailto:bryanbham@icloud.com]

>> Sent: Sunday, April 26, 2015 3:01 PM

>> To: Tom Stuen

>> Cc: richardmay42@aol.com; Alison Walker; Eli Mackiewicz; TODD DONOVAN

>> Subject: Re: Donovan proposal